

Panic in the Prairie State

June 28, 2018

When your state has the lowest credit rating in the union, the highest population decline rate, and spends nearly a quarter of its annual budget on an out-of-control government-employee pension system, what do you do?

Raise taxes, of course!

That's the advice of experts in Illinois, anyway.

You can see why they panic: The unfunded portion of Illinois's public employee pension system amounts to \$11,000 per person in the state. Something extraordinary must be done.

Other people's money is theirs to spend. And a future financial bind? Some other politician's problem.

Yet, as Pat Hughes at the Illinois Opportunity Project insists, taxpayers need *relief* — not a statewide 1 percent property tax *increase*.

Besides, it is not as if tax hikes could solve the problem. "It was just last year that politicians raised the state income tax by 32 percent in a desperate attempt to balance the budget," Hughes explains. "Despite over \$5 billion



in new taxes, the state was back in deficit spending in less than a year."

Hughes mentions a number of tax limitation measures in the works. More power to them.

But what's needed even more? *Spending* limitation measures.

No government can be trusted to offer anything but defined-contribution pensions — and no government, at any level, should *ever* manage a pension system. Politicians can't help themselves. They just cannot resist the temptation to buy off the government-worker constituency by promising more in the future than financially feasible (or just plain old politically possible) to pay for now.

Other people's money is theirs to spend. And a future financial bind? Some *other* politician's problem.

This is Common Sense. I'm Paul Jacob.

N.B. Congratulations to the Illinois Policy Institute for its Liberty Center, which won its case against forced unionization, *Janus v. American Federation*, on June 27. Commentary about this Supreme Court case appeared on this site in early May, "Post Blindfold."